

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

217

CRM-M-39199-2017

Date of Decision: 09.11.2017

Naresh Kumar @ Kala

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Pawan Attri, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Gaganpreet Kaur, AAG, Haryana.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

The instant petition under Section 439 Cr. P.C. has been filed for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR no. 126 dated 10.10.2016, under Sections 304-B and 498-A IPC, registered at Police Station Siwan, District Kaithal.

Counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner herein has been in custody since 12.10.2016. It is argued that the petitioner is falsely implicated in the present case. It is also contended that all the material witnesses have been examined, therefore, the petitioner is entitled to be enlarged on bail.

Learned counsel for the respondent-State, on instructions from Investigating Officer, opposes the grant of regular bail, however,

he does not dispute the fact that all the material witnesses have been examined.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Since the trial is likely to take some time to conclude and in view of the facts that the petitioner has been in custody since 12.10.2016 and all the material witnesses have been examined, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner behind bars. At this stage, without commenting upon the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

November 09, 2017
jyoti3

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No