

**234-2 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-41944 of 2013(O&M)
Date of decision: 22.08.2017**

Jyoti Kumar

... Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana & Anr.

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

* * *

Present : Mr. Naveen Gupta, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

Mr. Karan Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. S. S. Narula, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

* * *

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that this petition for quashing of FIR on merits has been rendered infructuous as the FIR has been quashed on the basis of compromise.

In view of the submission of learned counsel for the petitioner, the petition stands disposed of as infructuous.

**(Anupinder Singh Grewal)
Judge**

August 22, 2017
rimpal

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>