

223

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-39907-2016
Date of Decision :30.08.2017**

Satynaryan	Versus	Petitioner
State of Haryana and others		Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr.Parveen Kaushik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Arun Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition is for issuance of a direction to respondents no.1 to 3 to transfer the investigation to some other agency. Reply on behalf of DSP, Bahadurgarh, District Jhajjar by way of affidavit has been filed and on which it is stated that all the prosecution witnesses have been examined in the court. Application under Section 319 Cr.P.C. for summoning the additional accused had been dismissed by the trial court.

Even today, learned State counsel on instructions from SI Daya Chand, submits that charges were framed on 03.06.2014, i.e. much prior to the filing of the present petition and at this stage when the present petition was filed, the prosecution evidence has already started.

In view of the same this petition is rendered infructuous.

Dismissed.

**(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE**

August 30, 2017

Sunil Devi

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No