

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-39844-2016

Date of decision: September 13, 2017.

Vinod Kumar

... **Petitioner**

v.

State of Haryana

... **Respondent**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI**

Present: Shri Lekh Raj Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner(s).
Ms. Tanisha Peshawaria, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.
Shri Deepak Sabharwal, Advocate for DHBVNL.

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral):

Heard Learned counsel for the rival parties.

Learned Counsel for the State as well as counsel for the complainant have raised a preliminary objection on the ground that in this case, the petitioner has approached the High Court directly. The petitioner is satisfied that the petitioner ought to have approached the Sessions Court first as an ordinary rule for claiming anticipatory bail. In that view of the matter, the petition is allowed to be withdrawn with liberty to approach the Sessions court. Interim order shall continue for another 15 days subject to payment of costs of Rs.5,000/- to the complainant DHBVNL.

September 13, 2017.

kadyan

[**A.B. Chaudhari**]
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No