

144.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-38987-2017

Date of decision:13.10.2017.

MEHAKPREET KAUR

... Petitioner

versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: Mr. Vinod K. Kaushal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that on the basis of a complaint submitted by the petitioner, an FIR No.80 dated 12.05.2017, under Section 328/34 IPC, Police Station Ajnala, District Amritsar (Rural), was registered and now the accused are threatening the petitioner. Therefore, the petitioner is constrained to approach this Court seeking direction to protect his life and liberty.

In order to seek protection for his life and liberty, the petitioner has already submitted a representation dated 01.09.2017 (Annexure P-2) to the Senior Superintendent of Police (Rural), Amritsar i.e. respondent No.2.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage, the petitioner would be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent

the representation dated dated 01.09.2017 (Annexure P-2) submitted by the petitioner.

Notice of motion only to respondents No.1 to 4 at this stage.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. M.S. Nagra, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of these respondents.

Let requisite number of copies of paper book be given to learned State counsel during the course of the day.

Without observing anything on the merits of the case, present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police (Rural), Amritsar, to look into the contents of representation dated 01.09.2017 (Annexure P-2) and to act in accordance with law.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

13.10.2017
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned? Yes/No
Whether reportable? Yes/No