

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-38803-2017 (O&M)

Date of decision : 14.11.2017

Kanta Kumari

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN**

Present: Mr. Virender Soni, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Mahima Yashpal, AAG, Haryana,  
assisted by HC Ram Mehar.

Mr. Rahul Makkar, Advocate for the complainant.

**JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)**

The petitioner seeks pre-arrest bail in FIR No.273 dated 23.08.2017, registered under Section 67 of the Information Technology Act and Sections 120-B, 342, 376 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code, at Police Station Old Subji Mandi, Rohtak.

Heard.

On 13.10.2017, the following order was passed:-

*“Learned counsel contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated being a sister of the co-accused, namely, Stalin who had a love affair with the prosecutrix. The main accused has been arrested and cell phone has also been handed over to the Investigating Officer.*

*Notice of motion for 14.11.2017.*

*The petitioner is directed to join the investigation.*

*Meanwhile, in the event of arrest of the petitioner by the Arresting Officer, she shall be released on interim bail*

*subject to the following conditions:-*

- 1. That she shall make herself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;*
- 2. That she shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer and;*
- 3. That she shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court. ”*

Contentends that the petitioner is the sister of the main accused, who has already been arrested. No photographs/video were recovered during investigation.

Learned State counsel, on instructions, submits that in pursuance of the order passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation and she is not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 13.10.2017, is made absolute, subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

**14.11.2017**  
**atulsethi**

**(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking / reasoned :      Yes      No

Whether Reportable :      Yes      No

