

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M-39597 of 2016(O&M)

Date of decision : 18.09.2017

Sarabjit Kaur

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE LISA GILL

Present: Mr. Vikasdeep Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Davinder Bir Singh, DAG, Punjab.

LISA GILL,J(Oral)

Petitioner seeks the concession of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 95, dated 13.10.2016, under Sections 365/34, registered at Police Station Kotfatta, District Bathinda.

It is submitted that Sections 366-A, 368, 370, 373, 376, 506, 120-B, 34 IPC as well as Sections 4 and 6 of the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012 have been added subsequently.

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that no specific allegations have been raised against the present petitioner i.e. Sarabjit Kaur wife of Karnail Singh. Moreover, there is a delay of 26 days in lodging of the FIR and the petitioner has been falsely implicated. Therefore, this petition be allowed.

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

It is informed by learned counsel for the State on instructions from ASI Darshan Singh that co-accused Seera Gill @ Kashmir Singh and his wife Raj Sandhu @ Rajwinder Kaur are in custody in another

case i.e. FIR No. 151, dated 18.05.2017, under Sections 366-A, 350, 149 IPC, Police Station Ladnu, District Nagour (Rajasthan).

It is submitted by learned counsel for the State, that the victim on being released has specifically named the present petitioner as one of the persons who took the victim from the lawful custody of her parents. The petitioner as well as her son took the victim aged about 16 years to village Amanipur and kept her confined for about a week. Thereafter, the victim was taken to the house of co-accused Seera Sill @ Kashmir Singh and Raj Sandhu @ Rajwinder Kaur wife of Seera Gill @ Kashmir Singh. The victim was forced into physical relations with unknown persons and thereafter sold for a sum of ₹ 3,50,000/- to one Sushil Kumar resident of Jhunu Jhunu.

There are serious allegations against the petitioner. I do not find any ground whatsoever to afford the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Petition is accordingly dismissed.

18.09.2017

s.khan

(LISA GILL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No