

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No. 203

CRM-M-39521-2016

Decided on : 30.05.2017

Balbir

..... Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

State of Haryana

..... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr.Raman Chawla, Advocate, for the petitioner(s).

Mr.Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (ORAL)

Through this petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C., the petitioner seeks the concession of regular bail in FIR No.245, dated 05.12.2015, registered under Sections 27, 30, 54 and 59 of the Arms Act, at Police Station Siwani, District Bhiwani.

At the very outset, learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Vidhya Dhar, submits that only one prosecution witness remains to be examined, who has been summoned to appear before the trial court on 06.06.2017. It is further undertaken that every endeavour shall be made by the State to examine him on that date.

In view of the above statement made on behalf of the State, learned counsel for the petitioner does not press the present petition at this stage.

Dismissed as not pressed.

It is clarified that in case the prosecution does not conclude its evidence within two months from the next date of hearing before the trial court, the petitioner would be at liberty to revive his prayer for regular bail through a fresh petition.

30.05.2017
shamsher

[DEEPAK SIBAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No