

IN THE PUNJAB & HARYANA HIGH COURT AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-39492-2016(O&M)

Date of decision : 27.01.2017

Babita alias Babli

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr.Sukhdeep Parmar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Kuldeep Tiwari, Addl.A.G.Haryana.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was never named in the FIR and eventually, allegedly in a statement of her co-accused, she was named as a conspirator to the extent that she had informed (as per the allegation) that there was a certain amount of money/ jewellery in the house of the complainant. He further submits that she has been in custody for more than three months.

He has also submitted that the report under Section 173 Cr.P.C. has still not been filed, in respect of the offence punishable under Section 395 IPC.

In view of the nature of the allegation against the petitioner but without making any comment at this stage on her actual involvement, since the trial is likely to continue for a long time, the 'challan' in respect of

been presented, and she having been in custody for more than three months, I consider it appropriate to admit her to bail to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court, upon her furnishing adequate bail bonds and surety bonds, subject to her continuing to appear before that Court as and when summoned and complying with all other conditions as would be laid down by that Court.

Disposed of.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

January 27, 2017.
Davinder Kumar/dinesh

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No