

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M -38593 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: 12.12.2017

Noor Mohd.

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Rajesh Lamba, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Dimple Jain, AAG, Haryana
assisted by SI Krishna.

Mr. D.S. Matya, Advocate
for the complainant.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought anticipatory bail in FIR No.154 dated 13.09.2017, registered under Section 506 of IPC and Section 8 of Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012, at Police Station Bichhor, District Nuh.

On 07.11.2017, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Post again on 12.12.2017.

The petitioner is directed to join the investigation.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest of the petitioner by the Arresting Officer, he shall be released on interim bail subject to the following conditions:-

- 1.That he shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;*
- 2.That he shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted*

*with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer and;
3.That he shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court.”*

It is contended that in pursuance of order dated 07.11.2017, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

The learned State counsel, on instructions submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and he is not required for custodial interrogation.

Learned counsel for the complainant opposes the bail petition and states that the petitioner in fact entered the house of the complainant on 15.10.2017 and threatened him. The complainant also lodged a complaint with the authorities, however, the same was enquired into and no substance was found.

Heard.

Keeping in view the fact that the petitioner has repeatedly joined the investigation, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 07.11.2017, is made absolute, subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

12.12.2017

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable:	Yes	No