

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-38545-2017
Date of decision : 17.11.2017**

Yogesh Sethi

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present : Mr. D.K Prajapati, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Poswal, AAG, Haryana.

H.S. MADAAN, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner has referred to the authority **Microqual Techno Limited and others vs. State of Haryana and another, 2015(32) RCR (Criminal) 790**, wherein *it was observed that when in the main case, petitioners have been ordered to be discharged in view of compromise effected between parties, so continuation of criminal proceedings against the petitioners under Section 174-A IPC would be nothing but an abuse of process of law.*

Learned State counsel submits that the petitioner has since

joined the investigation and he is not required by the police for further investigation, in that way the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not found to be necessary.

In the light of above circumstances, interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 12.10.2017 is made absolute subject to conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

17.11.2017
Gaurav Sorot

(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE

1. Whether reportable? **No**
2. Whether speaking / reasoned? **Yes**