

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

207

**CRM-M-38541-2017
DATE OF DECISION: 27.11.2017**

HARASH AND ANR

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Rajiv K. Saini, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Vikas Malik, DAG, Haryana.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners are seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No. 172 dated 30.04.2017, under Sections 323, 325, 506, 365, 34 IPC, registered at Police Station Bilaspur, District Gurugram.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners are not named in the FIR, wherein, it is alleged that Vikas and Vishal along with other boys had beaten up the complainant. He further contends that five other accused were also identified and arrested by the police and petitioners have been arraigned as accused after filing of the challan on the statement of father of the complainant.

This Court, by the order dated 12.10.2017, had directed the petitioners to appear before the Investigating Officer and join the investigation and in the event of their arrest, they were to be released on ad-interim bail to the satisfaction of the

Investigating/Arresting Officer, subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from SI Tara Chand, states that the petitioners have joined investigation and are not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the submissions of learned counsel for the petitioners and keeping in view the fact that the petitioners have joined investigation, the order dated 12.10.2017 granting interim bail to the petitioners is made absolute.

However, the petitioners shall abide by the conditions stipulated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. They shall also join investigation as and when called upon to do so.

The petition stands disposed of.

27.11.2017

SwarnjitS

**(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No