

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-39332-2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 10.01.2017

SATTA SINGH AND OTHERS

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present : Ms. G.K. Mann, Advocate for the petitioner (s).

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioners have sought anticipatory bail in a case FIR No.52, dated 08.05.2014, registered under Sections 307, 324 and 323 of the Indian Penal Code (for short 'the IPC') read with Section 34 IPC, at Police Station Bhindi Saidan, District Amritsar Rural.

It is contended that the petitioners have been summoned under Section 319 Cr.P.C. and no material evidence could be collected against them during the course of investigation, however, they were named in the FIR. Vide order dated 09.02.2015 in CRM-M-34978-2014, co-accused, namely, Rattan Singh has been admitted to bail by this Court.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel has vehemently

opposed the present petition. However, she fairly admits that initially the petitioners were declared innocent.

Heard.

Considering the facts that the petitioners were summoned under Section 319 Cr.P.C. and co-accused, namely, Rattan Singh has been admitted to bail by this Court, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the petition stands allowed. The petitioners be admitted to bail subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court.

10.01.2017

ashok

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes / No

Whether reportable: Yes / No