

**203-A IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-39275-2016
Date of decision-18.07.2017**

**Amrik Singh
Vs.
State of Punjab**

**...Petitioner
...Respondent**

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. S.K.Bokolia, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. A.S.Gill, Senior DAG, Punjab.

Mr. B.S.Sidhu, Advocate for the complainant.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought anticipatory bail in FIR No.48 dated 29.09.2016 registered under Sections 406 and 498-A of the Indian Penal Code at Police Station Women, Bathinda.

On 23.02.2017, this Court had passed the following order:-

“On the joint request of learned counsel for the parties, the matter is referred to the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court for amiable settlement.

Parties are directed to appear before the Mediator on 09.03.2017.

Adjourned to 18.07.2017 for awaiting the report.

In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to join investigation and appear before the investigating officer. In the event of arrest, the petitioner be released on interim bail subject to the satisfaction of the arresting/investigating officer. However, the petitioner shall join investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall remain bound by the following conditions enumerated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

1. Petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;

- 2. Petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;*
- 3. Petitioner shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court;*
- 4. Such other condition as may be imposed under subsection (3) of section 437, as if the bail were granted under that section.”*

The learned State counsel submits that in pursuance of the order dated 23.02.2017, the petitioner has joined the investigation and he is not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 23.02.2017, is made absolute, subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

July 18, 2017

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No