

**114 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.38380 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision : October 13, 2017

Jasvir Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Hoshiar Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that respondent No.5 obtained protection vide order dated 13.09.2017 (Annexure P-1) passed by this Court in CRM-M No.33833 of 2017. Respondent No.5 had married Manjeet Kaur, daughter of the present petitioner. With the said petition, an affidavit was attached, stating that it was the first marriage of respondent No.5 with Manjeet Kaur.

It is further contended that as per voter list, respondent No.5 was already married with respondent No.6 Veerpal Kaur. Therefore, the contents of that petition were incorrect.

A perusal of the protection order dated 13.09.2017 (Annexure P-1) shows that it was specifically mentioned in that order that this order shall not be the proof of valid marriage. Therefore, this Court will not act upon the said affidavit.

It is claimed that it is a void marriage, the petitioner can avail the appropriate remedy by filing a criminal complaint, if same is permissible on behalf of the petitioner.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

October 13, 2017

sarita

SARITA RANI
2017.10.13 16:21
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document.
Chandigarh

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes Whether Reportable: No