

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No.M-39235 of 2016

Date of Decision: February 16, 2017

Sarla Rani and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Ritesh Pandey, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. D.S. Pannu, DAG, Punjab

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

Pursuant to the order dated 24.01.2017, the reply by way of affidavit of the Senior Superintendent of Police, Batala, has been filed in Court today.

As per the said affidavit, in the investigation carried out so far by the Deputy Superintendent of Police, City Batala, the complainant was found to have renovated the shop in question.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions, submits that pursuant to the order dated 04.11.2016, the petitioners have joined investigation and their custody is not required presently.

In view of the above, the order dated 04.11.2016, granting interim anticipatory bail to the petitioners, is made absolute on the same terms and conditions.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

February 16, 2017
vegarg

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes
Whether reportable: no