

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M -3836 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: 05.07.2017

Deepak

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Neeraj Poswal, AAG, Haryana.
assisted by ASI Vijender Singh.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought anticipatory bail in FIR No.444 dated 17.12.2016, registered under Sections 420 and 201 of IPC, at Police Station KUK, Kurukshetra.

On 17.02.2017, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Post again on 21.03.2017.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest of the petitioner(s) by the Arresting Officer, he shall be released on interim bail subject to the following conditions:-

- 1.That he shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;*
- 2.That he shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer and;*
- 3.That he shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court.”*

Thereafter, on 19.05.2017, the following order was passed:-

“For reasons mentioned in the application, which is accompanied by the affidavit, the same is allowed.

The Registry is directed to amend the head note and prayer clause of the petition. Section 201 IPC be also added in the petition.

In view of the above, the petitioner is also granted anticipatory bail under Section 201 IPC as well, subject to the conditions already mentioned in order dated 17.02.2017.”

It is contended that in pursuance of the orders dated 17.02.2017 and 19.05.2017, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

The learned State counsel, on instructions submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and he is not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide orders dated 17.02.2017 and 19.05.2017, is made absolute, subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

05.07.2017
sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable:	Yes	No