

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Criminal Misc. No.M-39206 of 2016
Date of Decision: 16.11.2017

Pawan Yadav

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

Surinder Rathi

...Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present:- Mr. Bhupinder Ghai, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Daanish Singh, Advocate
for the respondent.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the complaint
filed by the petitioner has been allowed and therefore, the present petition
has rendered infructuous.

Dismissed as infructuous.

November 16, 2017
AK

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned?

Yes / No

Whether reportable?

Yes / No