

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-383 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision : 23.01.2017

Smt. Sunita

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present : Ms. Bhagyashri, Advocate for
Dr. Deipa Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner (s).

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (for short, 'the Cr.P.C.') seeking regular bail in FIR No.376 dated 21.08.2015, registered under Sections 148, 302 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code (for short 'the IPC') read with Section 149 IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act, at Police Station Mohindergarh.

The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner states that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. The only allegation against the petitioner is that she had asked sister-in-law of the complainant, Bimla Devi (since deceased) to compromise in the murder case of son of Bimla Devi, namely, Sandeep. Except that, no specific role is attributed to the petitioner. The petitioner is in custody since 25.08.2015.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel submits that Sandeep (since deceased) son of Bimla Devi (since deceased) was done to death by the husband of the petitioner along with co-accused, Vikram. The petitioner had been threatening deceased, Bimla Devi and exerting pressure upon her not to pursue the murder case of her son.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and carefully perused the entire record on file.

After the murder of son of Bimla Devi, (since deceased), she was threatened not to pursue the murder case of her son or compromise the matter. However, she put up resistance, therefore, she was also done to death. Considering the active role of the petitioner in ruthless manner and the fact that in both the FIRs trial are going on, this Court does not find any ground to grant the relief sought by the petitioner.

Dismissed.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

23.01.2017

ashok

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes / No

Whether reportable: Yes / No