

CRM NO. M-38234 OF 2017

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM NO. M-38234 OF 2017
DECIDED ON : 20.11.2017

Dimpal Sen and another

...Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present : Mr. P.S. Bal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Seena Mand, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners are seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No. 220 dated 24.08.2017, under Sections 61, 1, 14 of Excise Act, registered at Police Station City Khanna, District Khanna.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the allegations in the FIR against the petitioners are that country made liquor as well as IMFL was recovered from near the marriage palace. He further contends that the place from which the liquor was recovered is at a distance of 6 km. from the place of residence of the petitioners and they have no connection with the place of recovery. Learned counsel also contends that the petitioners are students and petitioner No. 1 is the sister of petitioner No. 2 and they are not involved in any other case.

This Court vide order dated 10.10.2017, had directed the petitioners to appear before the trial Court and in the event of

their arrest, they were to be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Learned State counsel upon instructions from HC Jaswant Singh states that in pursuance to the order dated 10.10.2017 the petitioners have joined investigation and are not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of case, the order dated 10.10.2017, granting ad-interim bail to the petitioners, is hereby made absolute. However, the petitioners shall abide by the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

November 20, 2017
kanchan

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned?</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether reportable?</i>	<i>No</i>