

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

CRM-M-39088 of 2016
Date of Decision:10.1.2017

Aman

---Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

---Respondent

Coram: Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Rekha Mittal

**Present: Ms. Sheenu Sura, Advocate
for the petitioner**

Ms. Dimple Jain, DAG, Haryana

REKHA MITTAL, J.

Aman, accused for committing offence punishable under Sections 363, 366-A, 376 of the Indian Penal Code (In short "IPC") and Section 4 of the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012 vide FIR No. 69 dated 31.7.2015 registered in Police Station Titram, District Kaithal prays for grant of regular bail during pendency of trial.

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is in custody since August 2015 and as conclusion of trial is likely to take some

time, he may be released on bail. Another submission made is that material witnesses in the case have already been examined and statement of the prosecutrix is inconsistent and contradictory with regard to her age at the time of alleged occurrence.

Counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Sunil Kumar, has submitted that the prosecution has closed its evidence and the case is fixed for defence evidence.

Keeping in view that the trial has already reached fag end and it is for the petitioner/accused to adduce evidence in defence, if any, I do not think it to be a fit case where the petitioner deserves to be enlarged on bail.

Dismissed.

(Rekha Mittal)
Judge

10.1.2017

PARAMJIT

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No