

281

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-39007 of 2016

Date of decision: April 20, 2017

Ashok Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. Ashish Pannu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. G.S. Salwara, DAG Haryana.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

Today, learned State counsel has tendered reply in the form of affidavit dated 18.04.2017 sworn in by Bali Singh, HPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Panipat. The same has been taken on record.

With reference to the said reply, learned State counsel submits that there is only one incident in respect of which FIR was registered and investigation was made and now challan has been filed on 24.03.2017.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in respect of the same incident, another inquiry is pending and therefore, the same should also be directed to be inquired into.

I do not agree. Since investigation has already been completed into the same incident, there is no point in directing Investigating machinery to continue with the another inquiry which is

not contemplated to law. In that view of the matter, nothing further remains to be done in the present petition.

Hence, the petition stands dismissed in the light of the above observations.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

April 20, 2017
mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No