

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-38123-2017
Date of decision : 10.10.2017**

Harmandeep Kaur and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present : Petitioners in person with
 Mr. L.S. Mann, Advocate for
 Mr. Zorawar Singh Chauhan, Advocate.

H.S. MADAAN, J. (Oral)

It is contended by learned counsel for the petitioners that petitioner No.1 - Harmandeep Kaur aged about 20 years has got married with petitioner No.2 - Karanbir Singh aged a little less than 21 years against the wishes of her father Sh. Jassa Singh and brother Gurmukh Singh arrayed as respondents No.4 and 5 who are threatening to interfere in marital life of the petitioners and to seek protection, the petitioners have approached this Court by filing the petition in hand.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Kirat Singh Sidhu, DAG, Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3. A complete set of the petition has been supplied to him.

Mr. Jagjot Singh Lalli, Advocate puts in appearance on behalf of respondent No.4 by filing power of attorney, which is taken on record.

Respondent No.4 – Jassa Singh states that neither he nor his

son Gurmukh Singh have every threatened the petitioners nor would they do so, but they do not want to have any further contact with the petitioners and the petitioners should not try to contact them or visit them at their place. The petitioners state that they would not do so.

Respondent No.4 – Jassa Singh further states that petitioner No.2 – Karanbir is unemployed and does not have any source of income and rather he has been disowned by his father, however, Sh. Suresh Kumar father of petitioner No.2 who is present in the Court controverts such assertions stating that he has not disowned petitioner No.2 and would extend all sort of financial help to the petitioners as may be required under the circumstances.

Learned counsel for the petitioners still nurses an apprehension and request that an order granting protection to the petitioners be passed.

The petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 – Senior Superintendent of Police (Rural), Jalandhar to take necessary steps to ensure that lives and liberty of the petitioners are not jeopardized at the hands of respondents No.4 and 5 or persons claiming under them. However, this direction will not validate the marriage said to have taken place between the petitioners and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

10.10.2017

Gaurav Sorot

**(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE**

1. Whether reportable? **No**
2. Whether speaking / reasoned? **Yes**