

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No.9807 of 2017 in/and
CRM-M-38892 of 2016
Date of Decision: March 28, 2017**

Jagdish and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

Present: Mr. Arjun Lakhanpal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Munish Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J.

CRM No.9807 of 2017

Application is allowed.

Copy of the order is taken on record.

CRM stands disposed off.

CRM-M-38892 of 2016

The allegations against the petitioners Jagdish and Rakesh in this second regular bail application under Section 439 Cr.P.C are that they both have allowed regular bail vide orders dated 6.9.2013 by this Court and they had been regularly appearing before the trial Court and it was only on 19.09.2016 they could not put in appearance before the trial Court as one of them Rakesh happened to be ill and the other one Jagdish was nursing him.

Appreciating the submissions, there is only single date default that is too on account of bonafide exigency and that both the petitioner had been regularly appearing before the trial Court, thus, it would be travesty of justice to keep the petitioners behind the bars and that the trial is not likely to be concluded in near future, thus, the application stands allowed. Petitioners be admitted to bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

March 28, 2017
aarti

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No