

**206 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM M-37994 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 11.12.2017.

Manpreet Kaur

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Jitendra Chauhan

Present : Mr. S.S. Sidhu, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Anju Arora, Addl. AG Punjab
assisted by ASI Surender Singh.

Mr. T.S. Sidhu, Advocate,
for the complainant.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN.J.(ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Section 438

Cr.P.C seeking anticipatory bail in case FIR No. 259 dated 21.09.2017

registered under Section 306 read with Section 34 IPC at Police Station

Nathana, District Bathinda.

On 10.10.2017, this Court had passed the following
order:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is sister-in-law of the deceased. Though, they have been residing together but she did not have any concern with the matrimonial affairs of the deceased and her husband.

Notice of motion for 09.11.2017.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest of the petitioner by the Arresting Officer, she shall be released on interim bail subject to the following conditions:-

1. That she shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;

2. That she shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer and;

3. That she shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court.

At this stage, Mr. T.S. Sidhu, Advocate, causes representation on behalf of the complainant.”

It is contended that in pursuance of the order dated 10.10.2017, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned State counsel, on instructions, states that the petitioner is the prime accused. She had illicit relations with her brother-in-law, husband of the deceased which is the root cause of the death. However, she states that the petitioner has joined the investigation.

In view of Annexure P-2, the family photographs and the fact that no complaint or protest was raised either by the deceased or the husband of the petitioner with regard to illicit relationship, the Court is not inclined to go into this aspect of the matter at this stage. The order dated 10.10.2017 passed by this Court is made absolute, subject to furnishing of bail-bonds/surety bonds by the petitioner to the satisfaction of the CJM/Duty Magistrate concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

11.12.2017.
SN

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether reportable :	Yes/No