

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM NO. M-37938 OF 2017
DECIDED ON : 22.11.2017**

Amarjit Singh and others

...Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present : Mr. Ashok Giri, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Kanisth Ganeriwala, AAG, Punjab.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners are seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.89 dated 27.08.2017 under Section 379/34 IPC and Sections 29/32/33 of the Indian Forest Act, 1927, registered at Police Station Rupnagar , District Rupnagar.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that allegations against the petitioners are that they were cutting trees from the forest and after the Forest Guard stopped their motorcycle, they ran away. He further states that the petitioners are young men and petitioners No.2 and 4 are only 18 years of age.

This Court, by the order dated 10.10.2017, had directed the petitioners to join investigation and in the event of their arrest, they were ordered to be released on ad-interim bail to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer, subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from ASI Davinder Kumar, states that in pursuance to the order dated 10.10.2017, the petitioners have joined investigation and are not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of case, the order dated 10.10.2017, granting ad-interim bail to the petitioners, is hereby made absolute. However, the petitioners shall abide by the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

NOVEMBER 22, 2017
shalini

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : YES/NO
2. Whether reportable : YES/NO