

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

201/5

**CRM-M-37751-2017
DATE OF DECISION: 19.12.2017**

DILBAGH SINGH AND ANR.

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Jaiteshwar Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sandeep Vermani, Addl.A.G., Punjab.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners are seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.57 dated 21.08.2017, under Sections 448, 511, 454, 427, 188, 506, 148, 149 IPC, registered at Police Station Bhaini Mian Khan, District Gurdaspur.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that all the afore-noted sections are bailable, except Section 454 IPC. He further states that as per the contents of FIR, prima facie case under Section 454 IPC is not made out and even otherwise, it is punishable with a maximum imprisonment up to three years.

This Court, by the order dated 30.11.2017, had directed the petitioners to appear before the Investigating Officer and join the investigation and in the event of their arrest, they were to be released on ad-interim bail to the satisfaction of the

Investigating/Arresting Officer, subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Avtar Singh, states that the petitioners have joined investigation and are not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the submissions of learned counsel for the petitioners and keeping in view the fact that the petitioners have joined investigation, the order dated 30.11.2017 granting interim bail to the petitioners is made absolute.

However, the petitioners shall abide by the conditions stipulated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. They shall also join investigation as and when called upon to do so.

The petition stands disposed of.

19.12.2017

SwarnjitS

**(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No