

202 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

(1) CRM No. M-37684 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 23.01.2017.

Manav Chandra
Versus
State of Haryana
... Petitioner
... Respondent

(2) CRM No. M-37725 of 2015 (O&M)

Manav Chandra
Versus
State of Haryana
... Petitioner
... Respondent

(3) CRM No. M-37899 of 2015 (O&M)

Manav Chandra
Versus
State of Haryana
... Petitioner
... Respondent

(4) CRM No. M-37900 of 2015 (O&M)

Manav Chandra
Versus
State of Haryana
... Petitioner
... Respondent

(5) CRM No. M-37902 of 2015 (O&M)

Manav Chandra
Versus
State of Haryana
... Petitioner
... Respondent

(6) CRM No. M-37904 of 2015 (O&M)

Manav Chandra
... Petitioner

	Versus	
State of Haryana		... Respondent
(7)	CRM No. M-37905 of 2015 (O&M)	
Manav Chandra		... Petitioner
	Versus	
State of Haryana		... Respondent
(8)	CRM No. M-37906 of 2015 (O&M)	
Manav Chandra		... Petitioner
	Versus	
State of Haryana		... Respondent
(9)	CRM No. M-37907 of 2015 (O&M)	
Manav Chandra		... Petitioner
	Versus	
State of Haryana		... Respondent
(10)	CRM No. M-38918 of 2015 (O&M)	
Manav Chandra		... Petitioner
	Versus	
State of Haryana		... Respondent
(11)	CRM No. M-38920 of 2015 (O&M)	
Manav Chandra		... Petitioner
	Versus	
State of Haryana		... Respondent
(12)	CRM No. M-38922 of 2015 (O&M)	
Manav Chandra		... Petitioner

Versus
State of Haryana
... Respondent

(13) CRM No. M-38926 of 2015 (O&M)

Manav Chandra
... Petitioner

Versus
State of Haryana
... Respondent

(14) CRM No. M-38968 of 2015 (O&M)

Manav Chandra
... Petitioner

Versus
State of Haryana
... Respondent

CORAM : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Jitendra Chauhan

Present : Mr. S.S. Narula, Advocate and
Mr. A.S. Cheema, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Sabharwal, Addl. AG, Haryana.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN.J.

This order shall dispose of afore-mentioned fourteen anticipatory bail applications filed by petitioner, Manav Chandra in FIR No.40 dated 12.02.2014, FIR No. 331 dated 06.11.2014, FIR No. 19 dated 24.01.2015, FIR No. 26 dated 31.01.2015, FIR No.213 dated 14.07.2014, FIR No. 315 dated 21.10.2014, FIR No. 321 dated 30.10.2014, FIR No. 342 dated 11.11.2014, FIR No. 347 dated 15.11.2014, FIR No. 187 dated 08.06.2015, FIR No. 22 dated 29.01.2015, FIR No. 214 dated 04.07.2015 registered under Sections 406, 420 and Section 120-B IPC and FIR No. 202 dated 23.06.2015

registered under Sections 406, 420 and Section 120-B read with Section 34 IPC and Section 3 of Haryana Protection of Depositor in Financial Establishment Act, 2014, at Police Station DLF Phase-I, Gurgaon and FIR No. 144 dated 10.03.2015 registered under Sections 406 and 420 read with Section 34 IPC at Police Station Bilaspur, District Gurgaon.

Learned counsel for the petitioner refers to the chart of the status report filed on behalf of the State on 26.09.2016, to contend that the challan has been filed only in eleven FIRs whereas, after filing the status report, four more challans have also been filed and subject matter of these 16 FIRs is Rs.5.48 crores whereas, in Police Station Bhupani, District Faridabad, challans have been filed only in 25 FIRs out of 45 FIRs. Settlement has been reached in respect of remaining 20 FIRs. Learned counsel for the petitioner further states that the petitioner along with co-accused Rajesh own another project, namely, Jupiter Land Developers Private Limited at Neemrana wherein the petitioner is Director along with three others who happen to be the family members of Rajesh. The whole project has been acquired by the State of Rajasthan. The State has passed an Award to the tune of more than Rs.18 crore, 20 lacs. Learned counsel, on instructions, states that the amount is likely to be received within two months. Accordingly, the petitioner undertakes that within one month of the receipt of the amount, he along with co-accused Rajesh shall discharge his liability in

all the FIRs reflected in the reply filed by the State including the FIR registered at Police Station Manesar, Gurgaon.

It is further submitted that in pursuance of the interim anticipatory bail order passed by this Court, the petitioner has repeatedly joined the investigation.

In view of the undertaking given by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the entire liability shall be discharged within one month from the date of receipt of amount from Neemrana acquisition proceedings, the present petitions are allowed, at this stage without going into the merits of the case. The orders granting interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner are made absolute in all the aforesaid FIRs subject to furnishing bail-bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the CJM/Duty Magistrate concerned.

However, the petitioner shall remain bound by the statement made before the Court. If the payment is not made within approximate three months from today, the victims/prosecution shall be at liberty to revive the present petitions or initiate any other proceedings as per law.

The petitions are allowed.

23.01.2017.
SN

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether reportable :	Yes/No