

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M-37704 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: October 13, 2017

Palwinder Kaur

...Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Ms. Monita Mehta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

Petitioner has filed this petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.104 dated 16.06.2015 under Sections 302, 120-B, 109, 118, 177, 182 IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959 registered at Police Station Maqsudan, District Jalandhar.

Notice of motion.

Mr. K.S.Aulakh, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, has put in appearance on behalf of the respondent-State and contested the petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel and have gone through the record.

From the record, I find that as per the FIR, the present petitioner alongwith her paramour with whom she has illicit relations, killed her husband and misled the police to get the false FIR registered by twisting

the facts.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case, in view of nature and gravity of the offence and in view of the fact that the petitioner is one of the main accused, I do not find it a fit case where petitioner is entitled to benefit of regular bail.

Therefore, finding no merit in the present petition, the same is dismissed.

However, as the petitioner is stated to have been in custody since 16.05.2015, therefore, the trial Court is directed to decide the case expeditiously by giving short adjournments or even by adjourning the case on day to day basis, if necessary. The Investigating Officer/SHO concerned is directed to produce the witnesses before the trial Court on the next two-three dates.

October 13, 2017
parveen kumar

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
No