

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**Crl. Misc. No.M-377 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : January 11, 2017**

Poonam Yadav and another

... Petitioners

VERSUS

Union Territory Chandigarh and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURINDER GUPTA

Present: Petitioners in person with
Mr. Chandeeep Singh, Advocate.

SURINDER GUPTA, J.(Oral)

This petition is for seeking protection of life and liberty of petitioners, who alleged to have married against the wishes of respondents No.4 and 5, fathers of the petitioners.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has limited his prayer for taking appropriate action in accordance with law on the representation dated 06.01.2017 (Annexure P-5) made by the petitioners. It has been stated that both the petitioners are major. The date of birth of petitioner No.1-Poonam Yadav is 30.12.1992 as per copy of Voter Identity Card (Annexure P-1) and that of petitioner No.2-Ajay is 14.10.1991 as per copy of Voter Identity Card (Annexure P-2). They got married on 09.01.2017. Photographs of marriage are Annexure P-4.

In view of the prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, but without expressing any opinion on the validity of marriage of petitioners, petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh to look into the representation

dated 06.01.2017 (Annexure P-5) and take appropriate action in accordance with law, on receipt of copy of this order along with representation in his office.

However, it is made clear that this order will have no effect on the validity of alleged marriage of the petitioners or on any other civil or criminal proceedings instituted against them.

January 11, 2017.
Sachin M.

(SURINDER GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No