

214

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-37665 of 2017

Date of decision: October 23, 2017

Bhinder

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. Balram Singh, Advocate the petitioner.

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Sr. DAG Punjab.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Rule. Heard forthwith.

Petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in criminal complaint case No.463/16 dated 03.03.2016, under Sections 364, 506, 148, 149, 120-B of Indian Penal Code titled as “Sarabjit Singh @ Laddi versus Binder Singh and others”.

The Court of Additional Sessions Judge, Kapurthala had released the accused persons including the petitioner on *ad interim* bail. However, the petitioner wanted extension of time and applied accordingly, but did not bother to appear before the trial Court and as a result, vide impugned order, the trial Court dismissed the application for extension of time.

Be that as it may, since the petitioner has been negligent and did not even bother to appear and place his application for extension of time, the petitioner shall be released on anticipatory bail

on his furnishing fresh bail bonds in terms of the order that was made by the trial Court, subject to his depositing ₹5,000/- (non-refundable) with the trial Court for being paid to the State of Punjab.

Petition stands disposed of, accordingly.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

October 23, 2017

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No