

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-37552-2015

Date of decision: July 28, 2017.

Anand Kumar Jain

... **Petitioner**

v.

State of Haryana and another

... **Respondents**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI**

Present: Shri J.S. Bedi, Senior Advocate with
Shri Sonpreet Singh, Advocate for the petitioner(s).
Shri Satish Saini, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.
Shri Sunil Sihag, Advocate for respondent No.2.

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral):

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

What is challenged in the present petition is the summoning order dated 6.8.2015 (Annexure P-3), order framing charge dated 23.10.2015 (Annexure P-5) framing charge and consequently, the criminal complaint No.PC-08 dated 8.5.2015/11.5.2015, titled 'Mukhtyar Singh v/s A.K. Jain' (Annexure P-2) pending in the court of learned Additional Sessions Judge, Bhiwani. I have perused the summoning order made by the trial court and the reasons given therein. Perusal of the summoning order and the complaint shows no evidence even prima facie against the petitioner regarding demand or acceptance. In that view of the matter, rule is made absolute in terms of the prayer clause and the summoning order, order framing charge and the complaint aforesaid are quashed and set aside with all consequential proceedings.

July 28, 2017.

kadyan

[**A.B. Chaudhari**]

Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No