

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

CRM -M-37555 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: 11.10.2017

Sumeet Singh @ Surat

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN**

Present: Mr. Ritesh Pandey, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Anju Arora, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

\*\*\*\*

**Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)**

By filing the present petition, under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in FIR No. 49 dated 27.07.2017, registered under Sections 363 and 366-A of IPC, at Police Station Rangar Nagal, Police District Batala, District Gurdaspur.

It is contended that the petitioner and prosecutrix were closely known to each other and had a love affair. Learned counsel refers to Annexure P-2, the statement of prosecutrix recorded under Section 164 Cr.P.C., wherein she has admitted that she out of her own free will joined the company of the petitioner and was never enticed by him. Therefore, false implication has been pleaded. The petitioner is in custody since 28.07.2017.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposes the bail application.

Heard.

Considering the version of Annexure P-2 and the fact that challan stands presented; the conclusion of trial will take a long time, without advertng to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of CJM/Duty Magistrate/trial Court.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

11.10.2017  
*sumit.k*

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)  
JUDGE

|                             |     |    |
|-----------------------------|-----|----|
| Whether speaking/reasoned : | Yes | No |
| Whether Reportable :        | Yes | No |