

**201 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-38395-2016
Date of decision-08.02.2017**

**Rajwant Kaur and others
Vs.
State of Punjab and another**

**...Petitioners
...Respondents**

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Ms. G.K.Mann, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab assisted by
ASI Jagbir Singh.

Mr. Mohit Rampal, Advocate for respondent No.2.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

This petition under Section 438 of the Criminal Procedure Code has been filed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in FIR No.105 dated 24.08.2014 registered under Sections 324, 323, 355, 326, 295-A, 379 and 148 read with Section 149 of the Indian Penal Code (in short 'IPC') and Sections 3 and 4 of Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, at Police Station Bhindi Saidan, District Amritsar.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in the present case due to political rivalry. Learned counsel for the petitioners further contends that no grievous injury has been caused to the complainant. Further, there is delay of 11 days in lodging the present FIR.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposes the bail application and states that there are serious allegations against the

petitioners.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the case file.

The allegations in brief against the petitioners are that petitioner No.1, namely Rajwant Kaur put a garland of shoes around the neck of the complainant and that petitioner No.2, namely, Raj pulled the beard of the complainant and cut off his Shree Sahib and that petitioner No.3, namely, Pamma gave a gandasi blow on the backside of right hand of the complainant. The injury caused to the complainant was declared grievous in nature.

Keeping in view the seriousness of the offences, no case for grant of concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioners is made out.

Consequently, the application for grant of pre-arrest bail stands dismissed.

Anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

February 08, 2017
vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No