

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-37528 of 2017
Date of Decision: October 06, 2017

Priya and another

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present:- Mr. Prashant Bansal, Advocate
for the petitioners

-:-

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court Mr. Kirat Singh Sidhu, DAG Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3. Considering the nature of the order that is proposed to be passed, it is not necessary to issue notice of motion to respondents No. 4 and 5 who are father and brother of petitioner No. 1.

The petitioners have solemnized their marriage on 02.10.2017 as is evident from certificate of even date issued by Gurudwara Baba Deep Singh Ji Shaheed, Chandigarh Road Rajpura (Annexure P-3). They are both above the age of consent as is apparent from Annexures P-1 and P-2, respectively. The petitioners are apprehensive that they may be involved in a false criminal case by Vijay Kuamr (father of petitioner No. 1) and Raj Kumar (brother of petitioner No. 1) on account of the fact that they have not accepted the aforesaid marriage.

In view of the fact that the petitioners are both above the age of consent

and have solemnized their marriage by taking an informed decision, direction is

issued to respondent No. 2 to ensure the protection of the life and liberty of the petitioners and to provide all possible help to them.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

October 06, 2017

reena

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No