

120.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-37490-2017

Date of decision:07.10.2017.

RAJINDER SINGH

... Petitioner

versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS.

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: Mr. Rahul Arora, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner confined his prayer only to the extent that he may not be harassed by the police at the behest of private respondents No.8 to 10.

The dispute between the parties is that on account of some ideological differences, the engagement, which took place between the son of the petitioner and respondent No.8, could not be materialized. In this respect, petitioner has already submitted a representation dated 20.09.2017 (Annexure P-2).

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage, the petitioner would be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent No.4 i.e. Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka, Tehsil and District Fazilka, to look into the contents of representation dated 20.09.2017 (Annexure P-2) submitted by the petitioner.

Notice of motion only to respondents No.1 to 6 at this stage.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. M.S. Nagra, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents.

Let requisite number of copies of paper book be given to learned State counsel during the course of the day.

Without observing anything on the merits of the case, present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.4- Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka, Tehsil & District Fazilka, to look into the contents of representation dated 20.09.2017 (Annexure P-2) and to act in accordance with law.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

07.10.2017
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No.
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No.