

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.37424 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision:16.02.2017**

Amrik Singh and another

... Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. Inderjit Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Varun Sharma, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Karan Chaudhary, Advocate for respondent No.2.

...

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.

The instant petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of Criminal Complaint bearing No.250 dated 28.03.2011, under Sections 452, 326, 324, 323, 379, 148 and 149 IPC registered at Police Station Shri Hargobindpur pending before the Court of Judicial Magistrate 1st Class at Batala. Quashing was sought on the basis of compromise which as per counsel has been entered into with the complainant-Amarjit Singh, respondent No.2.

Perusal of the complaint at Annexure P-1 would reveal that specific allegations have been leveled against the five accused and out of whom only accused No. 2 and 5 have approached this Court seeking quashing of the complaint.

Counsel appearing for the petitioners apprises the Court that accused No.1 Satnam Singh son of Bahadur Singh has since expired.

During the course of hearing today, it stands conceded that accused Nos. 3 and 4 namely Jagroop Singh and Baljinder Singh both sons

of Amrik Singh have already been declared proclaimed offenders.

Under such circumstances, this Court would not be inclined to entertain the quashing petition, in a complaint case where two of the accused already stands declared as proclaimed offenders.

Faced with such a situation, counsel appearing for the petitioners prays for withdrawal of the present petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

16.02.2017

hemlata/yogesh

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)

JUDGE

i) Whether speaking/reasoned? Yes

ii) Whether reportable? No

...