

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

--

Crl. Misc. M 37475 of 2014
Date of decision: 16.05.2017

Swaranjit Kaur and another *Petitioners*
Versus
The State of Punjab and another *Respondents*

Coram: Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Rekha Mittal
-.-

Present: Mr. Gautam Dutt, Advocate
for the petitioners

Mr. Mikhail Kad, AAG, Punjab

Mr. Baljinder Singh, Advocate
for respondent No. 2
-.-

Rekha Mittal, J. (Oral)

Counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that dispute between the parties has been settled in the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court vide settlement agreement dated 06.04.2017. However, counsel for the parties would submit that at the time of deliberations before the Mediator, the parties inadvertently did not advert to the issue as to how jewellery recovered during investigation is to be appropriated.

Counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that the jewellery recovered during investigation would be shared by the petitioners and respondent No. 2 to the extent of 50:50.

Counsel for the petitioners would state that the present petition may be disposed of but without prejudice to rights of the petitioners to file a petition seeking quashing of the proceedings on the basis of compromise.

Disposed of with liberty aforesaid.

(Rekha Mittal)
Judge

16.05.2017
mohan bimbra

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No