

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANAAT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M- 3742 of 2017(O&M)

Date of Decision: February 3 , 2017.

Prabhjot Kaur and another PETITIONER (s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others RESPONDENT (s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE LISA GILL

Present: Petitioners in person with
Ms. Harpinder Kaur Sandhu, Advocate
for the petitioners.

LISA GILL, J.

The present petition has been filed by the petitioners for protection of their life and liberty as they apprehend danger at the hands of respondents No.4 and 5 and other relatives because they are stated to have married each other on 01.02.2017 against the wishes of the said respondents. Both the petitioners are present in Court and on being asked submit that they have solemnized marriage between themselves out of their own free will and volition.

It is contended that the petitioners are major, their dates of birth being 08.01.1991 and 30.07.1978, respectively. True copies of Adhaar Cards of both the petitioners have been appended with the petition as Annexures P1 and P2 though perusal of Adhaar Card (Annexure P1) shows that no specific date is mentioned and only the year of birth i.e., 1991 has been mentioned. A copy of the Marriage Certificate and the photographs of the marriage are also placed

on record as Annexure P3. The petitioners are stated to have submitted a representation dated 31.01.2017 (Annexure P4) to respondent No.2 i.e., the Senior Superintendent of Police, Gurdaspur for redressal of their grievance.

Notice of motion to the Advocate General, Punjab.

On the asking of the Court, Ms. Shivali, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3. A copy of the petition has been handed over to learned counsel for the State.

Without commenting upon the age of the petitioners or the validity of their marriage or the validity and genuineness of the certificates appended with the petition, respondent No.2 – Senior Superintendent of Police, Gurdaspur is directed to decide the representation dated 31.01.2017 submitted by the petitioners and take appropriate action keeping in view the threat perception to the petitioners.

It is, however, made clear that this order shall have no effect on the validity of the alleged marriage of the petitioners and neither will any other civil or criminal proceedings initiated against the petitioners be barred due to this order.

Petition is disposed of accordingly.

(LISA GILL)
JUDGE

February 3 , 2017.
'om'

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No