

**207 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM M-37387 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 24.11.2017.

Rijender Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

... Respondents

CORAM : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Jitendra Chauhan

Present : Mr. Vikas Bali, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Mahima Yashpal, AAG Haryana.

Mr. Bhisham Kumar, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN.J.(ORAL)

CRM No. 37835 of 2017

The application is allowed as prayed for. Annexures
P-4 to P-6 are taken on record subject to all just exceptions.

Main case

The present petition has been filed under Section 439
Cr.P.C seeking bail in case FIR No.288 dated 26.08.2017 registered
under Sections 323 and 376 IPC at Police Station Women Police
Station, District Gurugram.

On the assertion of the petitioner that he, in fact,
wanted to marry the prosecutrix, he was admitted to bail. During the
interregnum period, the petitioner has solemnized marriage with the
prosecutrix on 30.10.2017. Copy of the marriage certificate is

Annexure P-5.

The prosecutrix also has been heard in person. She states that she has solemnized marriage and she has no objection in case the petitioner is allowed bail.

Learned State counsel informs that the challan has been filed.

Heard.

Considering the fact that the challan stands already presented, the petitioner and the prosecutrix have solemnized marriage, without commenting on the merits of the case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/duty Magistrate concerned.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

24.11.2017.
SN

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether reportable :	Yes/No