

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Crl. Misc. No. M-38240 of 2016
Date of decision : 30.03.2017**

Ram Kumar		Petitioner
	versus	
State of Haryana and anr.		...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. S.S. Narula, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. D.R. Singla, DAG, Haryana

Mr. R.S. Budhwar, Advocate for respondent No. 2

RITU BAHRI, J. (Oral)

Quashing of FIR No. 306 dated 12.09.2013 under Sections 406/498-A/323/506/120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Shahabad, District Kurukshetra and judgment dated 18.05.2015, is being sought on the basis of compromise deed dated 29.04.2016 (P-4)

Respondent No. 2 got married to petitioner on 22.03.2010. Due to temperamental differences, both the parties could not live together as husband and wife. The relationship between them became strained and F.I.R was registered against the petitioner on account of harassment and humiliation caused by the petitioner on the pretext of bringing less dowry.

After this, the petitioner was convicted by the learned trial Court vide judgment dated 18.05.2015, petitioner preferred an appeal, which was pending the learned Lower Appellate Court.

During the pendency of the appeal, the matter has now been duly compromised, vide compromise deed dated 29.04.2016 (P-4).

In compliance of order dated 25.10.2016, report dated 03.02.2017 of Addl. District and Sessions Judge, Kurukshetra has been

received in this regard. As per report, statement of parties have been recorded. The complainant stated that the matter stands compromised between the parties and she does not want to proceed further against the accused and has no objection, if the present F.I.R be quashed against the petitioner and the judgment of conviction be set aside. The compromise has been entered voluntarily. To the same effect is the statement given by petitioner.

Consequently, in view of the status report dated 03.02.2017 and in view of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Dr. Arvind Barsaul etc. versus State of Madhya Pradesh and another 2008(2) RCR (Criminal) 910***, no useful purpose would be served in prolonging the litigation.

Reference at this stage can be made to judgments of this Court in cases of ***Pawan Kumar v. State of Haryana and another, 2016(2) RCR Crl 176 and Sube Singh and another v. State of Haryana and another, 2013(4) RCR Crl. 102*** wherein it has been held that High Court has the vested unparallel power to quash criminal proceedings at any stage to secure ends of justice.

Accordingly, FIR No. 306 dated 12.09.2013 under Sections 406/498-A/323/506/120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Shahabad, District Kurukshetra and judgment dated 18.05.2015 are quashed along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom qua petitioner. Petitioner is acquitted of the charges framed against him.

The petition stands disposed of.

30.03.2017

G Arora

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

***Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable***

***Yes
No***