

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No. M-37325 of 2017 (O/M)
Date of decision : 13.10.2017

Gurpreet Singh alias Gogi Petitioner
Versus
State of Punjab Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Sushant Sharma, Advocate, for,
Mr. S.S. Brar, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Monika Jalota, DAG Punjab.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?
- . - .-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Heard.

As per police version, petitioner has been named by one of the witnesses as one of two unknown assailants, who had committed robbery at pistol point in a liquor shop.

The learned proxy counsel appearing for the learned counsel for petitioner contends that Darshan Lal (complainant) had stated in the FIR that after the crime, they had inquired and came to know that 3/4 unknown persons had committed the said crime.

However, during police investigation, it came out that petitioner is named by one of the witnesses. The offence is of serious nature. The recovery is yet to be effected. The previous activities of petitioner is to be verified. No ground to grant anticipatory bail is made out. Hence, petition is dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

13.10.2017
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes
Whether Reportable : No