

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

231

CRM-M-37316-2017

Date of Decision: 10.10.2017

KULDEEP @ GEETAN @ GAUTAM

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANR.

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Sanjay Vashisth, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Gaganpreet Kaur, AAG, Haryana.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

The instant petition under Section 439 Cr. P.C. has been filed for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR no. 85 dated 27.02.2017, under Sections 363, 366A of IPC, 3 of SC/ST Act (subsequently added sections 420, 467, 68, 471 IPC and 4 of POSCO Act also) registered at Police Station Mohindergarh, District Mohindergarh.

It is contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner got married with the daughter of the complainant on 07.03.2017 at Arya Samaj Vaidik Mandal (Registered) Br. 120, Rajinder Market, Tis Hazari Court, Delhi and thereafter approached the Court of District Judge, Bhiwani, seeking protection, which was allowed vide order dated 10.03.2017 (Annexure P-3). He further submits that the complainant and her daughter have not supported the prosecution

version and that they have compromised the matter.

Keeping in view the fact that in the prosecution evidence the prosecutrix and her father have deposed nothing incriminating against the petitioner and the fact that the petitioner and the daughter of the complainant have got married. No useful purpose would be served by keeping him incarcerated in custody.

Therefore, without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

Any opinion expressed herein is for grant of regular bail and not to be construed as on merits.

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

October 10, 2017
jyoti3

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No