

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND  
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-37272-2017 (O&M)**

**Date of decision: 07.12.2017**

**Rahul**

**...Petitioner**

**Versus**

**State of Haryana**

**...Respondent**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR**

Present:- Mr. S. K. Garg Narwana, Sr. Advocate with  
Mr. Deepak Hooda, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. P. P. Chahar, DAG, Haryana.

**JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)**

This petition has been filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No. 1089 dated 01.12.2016, under Sections 304-B and 34 of the IPC (later on Section 34 IPC was deleted and Sections 302 & 498-A IPC were added), registered at Police Station City Ballabhgarh, District Faridabad.

Learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner herein has been falsely implicated in this case and he is in custody since 15.12.2016. It is also contended that in the statement of the complainant, he has submitted that there was no demand for a car or Rs. 5 Lakh in cash from the side of the petitioner or his family members. It is also contended that the entire medical expenditure of the deceased was borne by the petitioner and his family members. Learned Senior Counsel submits that the petitioner should be enlarged on regular bail as the trial is likely to take some time.

Mr. P. P. Chahar, DAG, Haryana, has appeared on behalf of respondent-State and contested the bail application. He opposes the grant of regular bail by submitting that the petitioner has been accused of an offence punishable under Section 304-B IPC. He also informs this Court that out of total 18 witnesses, 06 have been examined so far.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Since the petitioner is in custody since 15.12.2016 and the trial is likely to take some time as only 06 witnesses have been examined out of a total of 18, no useful purpose would be served in keeping the petitioner in custody furthermore. Hence, the present petition is accepted. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

However, any opinion expressed herein is for the purpose of grant of regular bail and not an opinion on the merits of the case.

**07.12.2017**

*Waseem Ansari*

**(JAISHREE THAKUR)**  
**JUDGE**

*Whether speaking/reasoned*  
*Whether reportable*

*Yes/No*  
*Yes/No*