

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-37172-2017
Decided on: 04.10.2017**

Manpreet Singh @ Patwari

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. Amandeep Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (ORAL)

Rule heard forthwith.

State of Punjab waives service.

The petitioner was admittedly on regular bail in FIR No.46 dated 06.04.2016 under Sections 22, 61, 85 of the NDPS Act, registered at Police Station Dugri, District Ludhiana.

Now, he seeks anticipatory bail since he, according to the trial Court, remained absent on 03.06.2017.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as a matter of fact the Presiding Officer of the Court had gone on summer vacation and his mistake was that he did not appear before the Incharge Court to mark his presence, though the petitioner was present in the Court.

Be that as it may, since the petitioner was on regular bail, there is no point in pushing him in jail, since the learned counsel for the petitioner gives an undertaking that the petitioner shall appear before the trial Court with promptitude and would not hereinafter, remain absent before the trial Court.

In that view of the matter, petitioner shall be released on bail upon furnishing fresh bail bonds amounting to ₹10,000/- with one surety of like amount to the satisfaction of the trial Court. If the petitioner remains absent, the trial court is at liberty to take him into custody.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

04.10.2017
Dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No