

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No. M-38002 of 2016
Date of Decision : 13.01.2017

Mukesh Kumar and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Kanish Ganeriwal, Advocate for
Mr. D.S. Virk, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Kirat Singh Sidhu, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J.(Oral)

The petitioners are seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No. 53, dated 04.06.2016, under Sections 307, 427, 148, 149 IPC and Section 25/27/54/59 of Arms Act, registered at Police Station Bahavwala, District Fazilka.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that no injury has been caused to any of the parties, who have also entered into compromise. A co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 24.10.2016 had passed the following order :-

“Learned counsel for the petitioners states that apart from the fact that the present is a case of no injury, the parties have entered into a compromise.

Notice of motion for 13.12.2016.

In the meantime, in the event of arrest of the petitioners,

they shall be released on ad-interim bail to the satisfaction of the arresting officer. However, the petitioners shall join the investigation as and when directed by the investigating agency and shall abide by the terms and conditions laid down under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned State counsel upon instructions from ASI Ravinder Kumar of Police Station Bahavwala states that the petitioners have joined investigation and are not required any longer for this purpose.

In view of the above, the order dated 24.10.2016, whereby ad-interim bail was granted to the petitioners, is made absolute. However, the petitioners shall continue to join the investigation as and when required to do so and abide by the conditions stipulated in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

January 13, 2017.
kanchan

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned? Yes/No

Whether reportable? Yes/No