

211

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-37133 of 2017

Date of decision: October 23, 2017

Sangeeta @ Geeta

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. Shashikant Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mrs. Tanisha Peshawaria, DAG Haryana.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

The petitioner's husband has been arrested so also the other accused persons, who have collected money from people and made default in returning the same. Amount involved is around ₹2 crores. The petitioner cannot be extended the benefit of anticipatory bail.

Dismissed. Liberty is reserved in favour of the petitioner to apply for regular bail.

**(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE**

October 23, 2017

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No