

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-37124-2017 (O&M)

Date of decision : 16.11.2017

Jeet Singh @ Harjit Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Jagdish Singh Mahal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Anju Arora, Addl.A.G., Punjab,
assisted by HC Kulwant Singh.

Respondent No.2 in person with.
Mr. K.S. Lakhanpal, Advocate,

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.174 dated 18.08.2017, registered under Sections 376, 506 and 120-B read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code, (for short, 'the IPC') at Police Station Jandiala, District Amritsar.

Contents that even in the FIR, there is no allegation of rape against the petitioner. He has been implicated being uncle of the main accused, Sandeep Singh. There is a delay of more than seven days in lodging the FIR.

On the other hand, learned State counsel, on instructions,

submits that the petitioner is the facilitator of the crime. He has been specifically named in the FIR and has been attributed specific role.

The prosecutrix, present in the Court, has been heard in person.
Heard.

As per the allegations, the prosecutrix was illegally confined for one night at the house of the petitioner. Keeping in view the serious nature of the crime and the alleged role that the petitioner acted as a facilitator in the commission of the crime, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

Dismissed.

However, nothing noticed hereinabove shall be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

16.11.2017
atulsethi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No