

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.3798 of 2016
Date of Decision: 22.02.2017**

VINOD KUMAR	Petitioner
Versus	
STATE OF HARYANA	... Respondent

CORAM:-HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Atul Lakhanpal, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Arjun Lakhanpal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. P.P. Chahar, DAG, Haryana.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

In CRM-M No.39868 of 2014, direction was issued by this Court that the prosecution shall ensure that the entire prosecution witnesses will be examined on the date fixed. On 23.01.2017, learned State counsel on instructions from ASI, Lekh Raj stated that 17.02.2017 was the date fixed for remaining prosecution witnesses.

Today learned State counsel on instructions from ASI, Madan Lal states that out of 14 prosecution witnesses, 9 have already been examined and now 23.02.2017 is the date fixed for remaining prosecution witnesses.

In view of facts and circumstances of the case, trial Court shall make every endeavour to examine all the prosecution witnesses, in default thereof, prayer for regular bail of the petitioner shall be considered by the trial Court in accordance with law.

Disposed of.

22.02.2017
prince

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

**Whether Reasoned/Speaking
Whether Reportable**

**Yes/No
Yes/No**