

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

202

CRM-M-37976 of 2016

Date of Decision: May 08, 2017

Jagpal Kaur and another

....Petitioners

vs.

State of Haryana

....Respondent

2. CRM-M-41377 of 2016

Satinder Kaur

....Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present: Mr. S.S. Dinarpur, Advocate
for the petitioners in CRM-M-37976 of 2016.
Mr. Robit Dutt, Advocate
for the petitioner in CRM-M-41377 of 2016.

Mr. C.S. Bakshi, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (Oral)

This order shall dispose of **CRM-M-37976 of 2016** (Jagpal Kaur and another vs. State of Haryana) and **CRM-M-41377 of 2016** (Satinder Kaur vs. State of Haryana) as both these petitions have been preferred under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in FIR No.392 dated 30.06.2016, under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B of Indian Penal Code and under Section 82 of Registration Act, registered at Police Station City Jagadhri, Yamuna Nagar

Learned State counsel upon instructions from ASI

Satnam Singh would apprise the Court that the petitioners in both these connected petitions have since joined investigation.

It has also gone un-rebutted that the allegations leading to the registration of the FIR would be founded on documentary evidence and which is already in the possession of the Investigating Agency.

In the light of the categoric stand taken by the State, custodial interrogation of the petitioners herein is not warranted, both the petitions are allowed.

Order carrying even dated i.e. 22.02.2017, passed in CRM-M-37976 of 2016 and CRM-M-41377 of 2016, is made absolute.

Petition disposed of.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

08.05.2017
anju rani

***Whether speaking/reasoned* : Yes/No**
***Whether reportable* : Yes/No**